| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.               | Applicant(s)              |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|  | 10/625,170                    | HENZE ET AL.              |
|  | Examiner                      | Art Unit                  |
|  | A. Dexter Tugbang             | 3729                      |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:        |                           |
| (1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner.   | (3)                           |                           |
| (2) Eileen Lehmann, for Applicant(s).  | (4)                           |                           |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 May 2006</u>   | Time:                         |                           |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | nt's representative)          |                           |
| Part I.  |                               |                           |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a  |                               |                           |
| Claims discussed:<br>24  |                               |                           |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a   |                               |                           |
| Part II.   |                               |                           |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet   |                               |                           |
| Part III.  |                               |                           |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                               |                           |
|  |                               |                           |
| [[]]   |                               |                           |
| A. DEXTER TUGBANG PRIMARY EXAMINER   |                               |                           |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/   | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted to applicant(s) that Claim 24 was a duplicate of Claim 1 as filed in the amendment of November 21, 2005. The applicant(s) have agreed to cancel Claim 24 in the attached examiner's amendment, which places the application in condition for allowance..